



REQUEST UNDER THE VERMONT PUBLIC RECORDS ACT

March 7, 2018

Vermont Attorney General's Office
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609-1001

By Electronic mail: ago.info@vermont.gov

RE: Certain records pertaining to investigating opponents of the “climate” agenda

To Whom it May Concern:

On behalf of the Competitive Enterprise Institute (CEI), a non-profit public policy institute in Washington, DC and to the Vermont Access to Public Records Act, Vt. Stat. Ann. Title 1, §§ 315-320, please provide us within the statutorily prescribed time certain described *information*, as follows:

Copies of all correspondence dated from **June 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, inclusive, and its accompanying information¹, including also any attachments**, which was sent to or from or copying (whether as cc: or bcc:) **Nicholas Persampieri, Scot Kline** and/or **Wendy Morgan** which were also sent from or to, including also copying (again whether as cc: or bcc:):

- 1) mp@pawalaw.com
- 2) any @ucsusa.org address (including but not limited to e.g., PFrumhoff@ucsusa.org)

¹ This includes public records, and associated public information, see discussion of Data Delivery Standards, *infra*.



- 3) any @fahr.llc.com email address
- 4) lemuel.srolovic@ag.ny.gov
- 5) michael.Meade@ag.ny.gov
- 6) peter.Washburn@ag.ny.gov
- 7) brian.Mahanna@ag.ny.gov
- 8) any @rffund.org email address, and/or
- 9) any @nextgenclimate.org address

These addresses are not case-sensitive. **Please consider as responsive entire email “threads”** containing any information responsive to this request, regardless whether any part of that thread falls outside the cited search parameters.

As this matter involves a significant issue of public interest, please produce responsive information as it becomes available on a rolling basis but consistent with the Act’s prescribed timelines.

In the interests of expediting the search and processing of this Request, CEI is willing to pay fees up to \$100. Please provide an estimate of anticipated costs in the event that fees for processing this Request will exceed \$100. To keep costs and copying to a minimum **please provide copies of all productions to the email used to send this request.** Given the nature of the records responsive to this request, all should be in electronic format, and therefore there should be no photocopying costs (see discussion, *infra*).

We request records on your system, e.g., its backend logs, and do *not* seek only those records which survive on an employee’s own machine or account.



We do not demand your Office produce requested information in any particular form, instead **we request records in their native form, with specific reference to the U.S.**

Securities and Exchange Commission Data Delivery Standards.² The covered information we seek is electronic information, this includes electronic *records*, and other public *information*.

To quote the SEC Data Delivery Standards, “Electronic files must be produced in their native format, i.e. the format in which they are ordinarily used and maintained during the normal course of business. For example, an MS Excel file must be produced as an MS Excel file rather than an image of a spreadsheet. *(Note: An Adobe PDF file is not considered a native file unless the document was initially created as a PDF.)*” (emphases in original).

In many native-format productions, certain public information remains contained in the record (e.g., metadata). Under the same standards, to ensure production of all information requested, if your production will be de-duplicated it is vital that you 1) preserve any unique metadata associated with the duplicate files, for example, custodian name, and, 2) make that unique metadata part of your production.

Native file productions may be produced without load files. However, native file productions must maintain the integrity of the original meta data, and must be produced as they are maintained in the normal course of business and organized by custodian-named file folders. A separate folder should be provided for each custodian.

² <https://www.sec.gov/divisions/enforce/datadeliverystandards.pdf>.



In the event that necessity requires your Office to produce a PDF file, due to your normal program for redacting certain information and such that native files cannot be produced as they are maintained in the normal course of business, in order to provide all requested information each PDF file should be produced in separate folders named by the custodian, *and* accompanied by a load file to ensure the requested information appropriate for that discrete record is associated with that record. The required fields and format of the data to be provided within the load file can be found in Addendum A of the above-cited SEC Data Standards. All produced PDFs must be text searchable.

In the context of our experience with responsive agencies taking the effort to physically print, then (often, poorly) scan *electronic* mail into (typically, non-searchable) PDF files, we note that production of electronic records necessitates no such additional time, effort or other resources, and no photocopying expense. Any such effort as described is most reasonably viewed as an effort to frustrate the requester's use of the public information.

If you have any questions do not hesitate to contact me by email.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Horner", written over a light blue horizontal line.

Christopher C. Horner
Senior Fellow, CEI
1310 L Street NW, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
chris.horner@cei.org
202.262.4458