THOMAS J. DONOVAN, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL

JOSHUA R. DIAMOND DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

WILLIAM E. GRIFFIN
CHIEF ASST. ATTORNEY general


March 31, 2017


I write in response to your correspondence to the Attorney General's Office dated March 24,2017 , regarding a request for assistance with a judicial summons directed at municipal officials of the Town of Victory.

Please be aware that the Attorney General's Office does not provide legal representation to municipal officials or private individuals. We recommend you contact the Victory Town Attorney, and/or the Vermont League of Cities of Towns. Please also be aware that the Vermont Bar Association provides a Lawyer Referral Service, and their attorneys provide an initial 30 minute consultation for no more than $\$ 25$. The Vermont Bar Association Lawyer Referral Service can be reached at 1-800-639-7036.

We hope the above information is helpful to you.

Sincerely,


Sarah London
Assistant Attorney General

THOMAS J. DONOVAN, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL

JOSHUA R. DIAMOND
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL.
WILLIAM E. GRIFFIN
CHIEF ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAI


March 31, 2017


I write in response to your correspondence to the Attorney General's Office dated March 22, 2017. We understand you are concerned about possible absentee voter fraud and tampering with the U.S. Mail. Please be aware that the Attorney General's Office does not provide legal representation to municipal officials or private individuals. While not providing legal advice, I write to provide resource information that I hope is helpful to you.

To the extent you believe there has been tampering with U.S. Mail, we recommend you contact the U.S. Postal Service. With respect to your concern about absentee voter fraud, we understand you have concerns about the process for mailing absentee ballots for $\square$ and $\square$. We are aware that Judge Bent has ruled that both entitled to absentee ballots. We recommend you consult with the Secretary of State's Office regarding the process for providing absentee ballots in these circumstances. In addition, you may wish to consult with the Town Attomey, and/or the Vermont League of Cities of Towns regarding additional legal resources that may be available to you. If you are concerned about criminal conduct regarding absentee ballots, you may wish to contact the State Police.

Again, I hope the above information is helpful to you.

Sincerely,


## Boyce, Bonnie

| From: | London, Sarah |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Sunday, March 26,2017 10:52 PM |
| To: | Meenan, Evan; Treadwell, John |
| Subject: | RE: Requesting Assistance |

Thank you!!

From: Meenan, Evan
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 1:01 PM
To: Treadwell, John; London, Sarah
Subject: FW: Requesting Assistance

Sarah,

John wanted me to send this correspondence to you. If my response was premature, I apologize.

Thanks,

Evan

Evan Meenan
Assistant Attorney General
Vermont Attorney General's Office, Criminal Division
109 State Street
Monipelier, VT 05609
(802) 828-3740 (phone)
(802) 828-2154 (Fax)

PRIVILEGED \& CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION: This E-mail may contain sensitive law enforcement and/or privileged information. DO NOT read, copy or disseminate this communication unless you are the intended addressee. This communication may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this E-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this E-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material or taking of any action in rellance on the contents of the electronically transmitted materials is prohibited.

From: Meenan, Evan
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:26 PM
To:
Cc: Treadwell, John [john.treadwell@vermont.gov](mailto:john.treadwell@vermont.gov)
Subject: RE: Requesting Assistance

Good Morning
Unfortunately, the Attorney General's Office cannot provide the named defendants with representation in this civil suit. The only recommendation I can provide is that the Town should consult with the Town's attorney, and the other individuaily named defendants should consider consulting with attomeys.

Please note, that I am still reviewing the allegations of criminal wrongdoing that will notify them when my review is done.

Have a nice weekend.

Evan Meenan
Assistant Attorney Generál
Vermont Attorney General's Office, Criminal Division
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609
(802) 828-3740 (phone)
(802) 828-2154 (Fax)

PRIVILEGED \& CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION: This E-mail may contain sensitive law enforcement and/or privileged information. DO NOT read, copy or disseminate this communication unless you are the intended addressee. This communication may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this E-mal in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this E-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of the electronically transmitted materials is prohibited.

From:
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 10:35 AM
To: Meenan, Evan[Evan.Meenan@vermont.gov](mailto:Evan.Meenan@vermont.gov); chris.winters@sec.state.vt.us
Subject: Fwd: Requesting Assistance

To: Thomas.Donovan@vermont.gov, contact@jimcondos.com, ago.cap(Q)vermont.gov,


Good Morning,
Attached to this email, please find a judicial summons that was served on many residents in the Town of Victory yesterday. This is yet another law suite being filed regarding individuals on the check list amongst other things.

I am also attaching a Decision on the Merits, issued By Judge Bent under docket 1-1-16 Excv on February 19, 2016. Judge Bent issues his conclusion based on 17 VSA 2122 (a) and (b) regarding temporary absences from Victory with the intent to return.

We, defendant's named in this suit, are seeking assistance in regards to representation and consultation on a response to be filed with the court. Any assistance that we can receive will be greatly appreciated. The hearing is to be held on March 28, 2017.

Thank you for your time
Sincerely,

## From:

Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Winters, Chris [chris.winters@sec.state.vt.us](mailto:chris.winters@sec.state.vt.us)
Friday, March 24, 2017 2:08 PM
; Meenan, Evan
Condos, Jim; Senning, Will
RE: Requesting Assistance

Dear

The Secretary of State's Office cannot represent you or consult with you on this matter to be sorted out before the court. To the extent that we can point you to the applicable election law, we will, but we cannot give legal advice.

Sincerely,

Christopher D. Winters
Deputy Secretary of State
802.828 .2124
www.sec.state.vt.us

From:
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 10:35 AM
To: evan.meenan@vermont.gov; Winters, Chris [chris.winters@sec.state.vt.us](mailto:chris.winters@sec.state.vt.us)
Subject: Fwd: Requesting Assistance

To: Thomas.Donovan@vermont.gov, contact@jimcondos.com, ago.cap@vermont.gov,


Good Morning,
Attached to this email, please find a judicial summons that was served on many residents in the Town of Victory yesterday, This is yet another law suite being filed regarding individuals on the check list amongst other things.

I am also attaching a Decision on the Merits, issued By Judge Bent under docket 1-1-16 Excv on February 19, 2016. Judge Bent issues his conclusion based on 17 VSA 2122 (a) and (b) regarding temporary absences from Victory with the intent to return.

We, defendant's named in this suit, are seeking assistance in regards to representation and consultation on a response to be filed with the court. Any assistance that we can receive will be greatly appreciated. The hearing is to be held on March 28, 2017.

Thank you for your time

Sincerely,
$\square$

Ruth Anne Neborsky, Andrew J. Marden, Anthony Schifone, Plaintiffs

## DECISION ON THE MERITS

\author{
v. <br> Town of Victory,

## Defendant

}

The Court took further evidence concerning the petitions of Andrew Marden and Anthony Schifone pursuant to 17 V.S.A. $\$ 2148$. The parties were both represented by counsel. This decision supplements the one previously made concerning Ms. Neborsky. Mr. Marden and Mr. Schifone are her adult sons. The court will determine the residency and voting status of each:

## Andrew Marden:

Mr. Marden is currently an airman on active duty in the United State Air Force stationed in Oklahoma City, OK. He enlisted in 2011. He has never voted in any other state.

Mr. Marden graduated from St. Johnsbury Academy in about 2008. He enlisted in 2011. He. primarily lived in Victory, Vermont during his high school years. After. high school and before enlisting he worked for two separate periods in his brother's plumbing business in West Tisbury, Massachusetts on Martha's Vineyard - one period for $11 / 2$ years and the other for about nine months.

While in Massachusetts he experienced a medical issue for which he needed surgery. He had no medical insurance at the time, and in order to obtain status sufficient to qualify for Massachusetts insurance, he declared himself to be a Massachusetts resident. In doing so he obtained a Massachusetts driver's license which he still uses as proof of his right to operate á motor vehicle.

Mr. Marsden moved back to Victory, Vermont to live with his mother and step-father about half a year before he actually entered service. The purpose of his move was to devote some time to physical training with his former high school coach in preparation of applying for some form of special services within the Air Force. While in Victory he acted as an assistant to the running coach at St. Johnsbury Academy. It is not clear to the Court whether this was a formal position.

Mr. Marden lists Victory as his address of record with the Air Force, although he used his Massachusetts identification to reflect his residency when he entered the Air Force. There is no
record that Mr. Marden maintains any permanent home other than the one he claims at his mother's residence in Victory.

Anthony Shifone:
Mr. Shifone graduated from St. Johnsbury Academy in 2014 and is currently a student at the College of Idaho. His residency was with his mother in Victory, Vermont during the time he was in high school. This Court has already determined his mother's residence to be Victory. He is not on any other voting checklist.

Mr. Shifone worked as a nursing assistant at St. Johnsbury Health and Rehab before he entered college. His nursing license and driver's license both show his address as Victory Hill, which is his mother's residence. During the summer after his 2014 high school graduation he lived in Victory and worked to renovate property that his mother owns in Kirby, Vermont, sometimes staying there overnight.

Evidence was adduced that Mr. Shifone submitted three applications to the Town of Victory to be put on the checklist: one during a voter drive at high school, another, and the current application. The Victory Town clerk testified she only is aware of the current application, and believing this Court would make the decision, she has not acted on it.

The Court was not presented with any copies of the prior applications and cannot make a finding that they were presented to the current clerk. In making that ruling, the Court notes that lack of organization within the clerk's office is a possible explanation for the conflicting evidence as to whether Mr. Shifone actually had presented an application to be placed on the checklist prior to this proceeding. Mr. Shifone's mother was the prior town clerk, ending her term in 2013. She held that job for about nine years, taking over from the now current clerk, Carol Easter. Ms. Neborsky credibly testified that, to her observation, the clerk's office under Ms. Easter was disorganized. The Plaintiffs would have the Court reach the conclusion that the action of the Town was organized, intentional, and in derogation of the rights of Mr. Shifone. The Couirt cannot reach that conclusion either. The nature of this proceeding is limited and not intended to be a far reaching inquiry as to possible wrongdoing. The record thus remains a limited one and only reaches the question of voter eligibility.

## Analysis:

The Court has no trouble reaching the conclusion that Anthony Shifone is a resident of Victory. His temporary absence from Victory for college will not change his residence if otherwise established. See 17 V.S.A. $\$ 2122(a) .{ }^{1}$

The indicia of residence, such as where he declared his residence to be for his nursing license and college entrance exams and where it is listed on his driver's license, are all useful in reaching the conclusion he was a Victory resident upon entering college. There is evidence that

[^0]his high school tuition was paid as a Kirby resident, which is where his mother has other property. However, this Court has already concluded in Ms. Neborsky's case and does so in Mr. Shifone's that there is insufficient evidence that either made Kirby their principal dwelling. Mr. Shifone is therefore eligible to be a Victory voter and his application should be processed forthwith.

As to John Marden, the facts illustrate the fluidity of concepts surrounding residency and why it is not always clear where a person is a resident. The Court believes residency to be particularly problematic when young people have parents and families living in different locations. Like Mr . Shifone's college tenure, Airman Marden's military service makes no difference to this analysis except to explain why he is now absent from his claimed residence.

Without question, Mr. Marden's Vermont residence, which he held while in high school, was lost when he declared himself to be a Massachusetts resident. The impetus for the shift was his need for documentation regarding his health insurance needs. From the scant information provided, he was living and working at the time in Massachusetts; the Court thus finds nothing improper about his declaration of Massachusetts residence. However, his job and domicile in Massachusetts came to an end when he moved back to Victory to train with his former coach. There is no evidence that he intended to return to his West Tisbury domicile at that time. See 17 V.S.A. 5 2122(b) ("'resident' shall mean a person who is domiciled in the town as evidenced by an intent to maintain a principal dwelling place in the town indefinitely and to return there if temporarily absent, coupled with an act or acts consistent with that intent.") The evidence is sufficient to persuade the Court that he abandoned his Massachusetts domicile despite still having a Massachusetts driver's license and using that license to join the service.

Young adults who have not established permanent addresses of their own often do consider their home to be that of their closest relatives - i.e., their parents. Here, Mr. Marden had declared to the military that Victory was his designated residence. The Court cannot identify any other dwelling which he still has access to as being a likely principal dwelling. That declaration, coupled with the evidence that Victory is the place to which he returns when absent ${ }^{2}$, is sufficient for this court to find that Victory is his residence. Mr. Marden is therefore also a resident of Victory for purposes of voting.

The Court denies the Plaintiffs' motion to reconsider the issue of attorney's fees. The evidence is insufficient for the Court to reach the conclusion that there was a meritless and abusive denial of residency status sufficient to warrant fees. The Court is not unmindful of the allegations of unfair and partisan conduct toward the Petitioners in this case. Fees, however, in the absence of a statute, are reserved for extraordinary circumstances and are not a matter of right. The development of additional evidence which might support a fee award involves a farreaching inquiry inappropriate to the nature of this case. The Court is not convinced that the facts presented warrant fees. In fact, in both Ms. Neborsky's case and Mr. Marsden's case, the facts required sifting and analysis and may have admitted of more than one conclusion. In Mr.

[^1]Shifone's case, the clerk's decision to simply let the Court make the decision as to residency was incorrect but not sanctionable. Accordingly, the Court will not reconsider the issue of attorney's fees

Electronically signed on February 19, 2016 at 01:42 PM pursuant to V.R.E.F. 7(d).


| Plaintiff (s) <br> Tracey Martel | VS. | Defendant (s) <br> Town of Victory et. al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## SUMMONS

## THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED To Dawn Peters

1. YOU ARE BEING SUED. The plaintiff has started a lawsuit against your The Plaintiffs Complaint against you is attached to this summons. Do not throw these papers away. They are official papers that affect your rights.
2. YOU MUST REPLY WITHIN $20^{*}$ DAYS TO PROTECT YOUR RIGITS. You must give or mail the Plaintiff a written response called an Answer within 20* days of the date on which you received his Summons. You must send a cody of your Answer to the [Plaintiff[Plaintiff's attorney] located at: PO Box 310 St. Johnsbury, Vermont 05819

- ,

You must also give or mall your Answer to the Court located at: PO Box 75 Guildhall, Vermont 05905
3. YOU MUST RESPOND TO EACH CLAIM. The Answer is your witter response to the Plaintiff's Complaint. In your Answer you must state whether you agree or disagree with each paragiapli of the Complain. If you believe the Plaintiff should not be given everything asked for in the Complaint, you must say so in your Answer.
4. YOU WILL LOSE YOUR CASE IF YOU DO NOT GIVE YOUR WRITTEN ANSWER TO THE COURT. If you do not Answer within 20* days and file it with the Court, you will lose this case. You will not get to tell your side of the story, and the Court may decide against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the complaint.
5. YOU MUST MAKE ANY CLAIMS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF IN YOUR REPLY, Your Answer must state any related legal claims you have against the Plaintiff. Your claims against thy Plaintiff are called Counterclaims. If you do not make your Counterclaims in writing in your Answer, you may not be able to bring them up at all. Even if you have insurance and the insurance company will defend you, you nus still file any Counterclaims you may have.
6. LEGAL ASSISTANCE. You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you cannot afford a lawyer, you should ask the court clerk for information about places where you con get free legal help. Even if you cannot get legal help, you must still give the Court a written Answer to protect your rights or you may lose the case.
7. NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM. THE COURT NEEDS TO KNOW HOW TO REACH YOU SO THAT YOU WLL BE INFORMED OF ALL MATIERS RELATING TO YOUR CASE. If you have not heel angtomey and are fepreseming yourself, in addition to filing the required answer it is important that you file the Notice of Appearance form attached to this summons, to give the court your name, mailing address and phone number (and email address, if you have one). You mist also mail ordeliver a copy of the form to the haver or party who sent you this paperwork, so that you will receive copies of anything else the) file with the couth
Plaintiff's Altorney/Court Clerk

Served on


Served


[^2]```
    Vermont Superior Court
                        Essex Civil Division
                        P.O. Box 75
```

                            Guildhall, VT 05905
    www.VermontJudiciary.org - (802) 676-3910
NOTICEOF HEARING
Dawn Peters
750 River Rd
Victory VT 05858
March 22, 2017
Tracey Martel

Docket No. 10-3-17 Excv
Town of Victory et al

This is to notify you to appear at the Court named above in connection with the above named case for the following:

Civil Trial Regarding Motions(s):

1) Motion for Temporary Restraining Order

Tuesday March 28, 2017 at 01:30 PM Hearing Length: 2 Hour(s) 0 Minute(s)
Please Note: See Entry Order Mpr\#1

## Civil Division Clerk

Any individual with a disability requiring assistance accessing the services, programs, and/or activities at the Courthouse should contact the Clerk's office at the above address for further assistance.

## Notifications:

Deborah T. Bucknam, Attorney for Plaintiff, Tracey Martel
Defendant, Town of Victory
Defendant, Carol Easter
Defendant, Dawn Peters
Defendant, Jan Stanley
Defendant, Sandy Hudson
Defendant, Lionel Easter
Defendant, Victory Board of Civil Authority
Defendant, Wayne Moodie
Defendant, Krystal Gray
Defendant, Robert Flanigan Jr
Defendant, Toni Flanigan
Defendant, Robert Flanigan III
Defendant, Brendan Flanigan
DeEendant, Laury Saligman
Defendant, John McGill
Defendant, Isiah Preston
Defendant, Angelo Spera
Defendant, Andrea Poginy

## STATE OF.VERMONT

## SUPERIOR COURT

Essex Unit

CIVIL DIVISION
Docket No. $10-3-17$ EXCV

TRACEY MARTEL, Plaintiff
vs.
TOWN OF VICTORY, VERMONT
CAROL EASTER
DAWN PETERS
JAN STANLEY
SANDY HUDSON
LIONEL ("SKIP") EASTER
VICTORY BOARD OF CIVIL
AUTHORITY
WAYNE MOODIE
KRYSTAL GRAY
ROBERT FLANIGAN JR.
TONI FLANIGAN
ROBERT FLANIGAN III
BRENDAN FLANIGAN
LAURY SALIGMAN
JOHN MCGILL
ISAIAH PRESTON
ANGELO SPERA
ANDREA POGINY

Bucknam \& Black 1097 Main Street PO Box 310
St. Johnsbury, VT 05819

COMPLAINT AND PETETIONGOR WRTT OF MANDAMUS AND MOTION TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

COMES NOW Tracey Martell, by and through her attorney, Deborah T.
Bucknam, Esq. of Bucknam \& Black, PC, and hereby states as follows:

## INTRODUCTION

This is a case involving massive voter fraud by the Defendants in the
Town of Victory. Numerous non-residents who are political allies of the individual
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Defendants have been placed on the voter checklist, and voted by absentee ballot in the March 7, 2017 election. Several attempts by other members of the Board of Civil Authority to purge the checklist of non-residents have been rebuffed by the Defendants. The result has been that the population of the Town of Victory has supposedly increased by $50 \%$ since the last decennial census. The Defendant members of the Board of Civil Authority have violated their non-discretionary duties to attend meetings to remove non-residents from the checklist, and Town Clerk and Town Treasurer Carol Easter has violated several statutes, including not following statutory procedure when placing persons on the checklist, failing to provide absentee ballots in a timely manner to voters who oppose Defendants; hand delivering an absentee ballot alone to a voter, and interfering with one voter with her ballots on Town Meeting Day. The result was that at Town Meeting, Carol Easter won the races for Town Clerk by three votes and Town Treasurer by one vote. Her husband, Defendant Lionel Easter tied in the race for select board. In addition, it has been recently learned that Carol Easter has refused to send out absentee ballots to some voters for the April 7, 2017 run-off election who have requested them, and she has failed to mail out absentee ballots for the April 7, 2017 run-off to voters who participated in the March 7, 2017 election.

Plaintiff Tracey Martel, who ran unsuccessfully for the Town Clerk and the Town Treasurer positions, seeks this Honorable Court to issue a temporary restraining order a preliminary and permanent injunction, and writ of mandamus to the Defendants to cancel the April 7, 2017 election, to remove non-residents from the checklist, and to hold another election, pursuant to 17 V.S.A. § 2603.

## PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Tracey Martel is a resident of the Town of Victory, Essex County, Vermont, and was a candidate for Victory Town Clerk in the March 7, 2017 town meeting election.
2. Defendant Town of Victory is a municipality located in Essex County, Vermont.
3. Defendant Carol Easter is a resident of Victory, and presently Victory Town Clerk, and a member of the Victory Board of Civil Authority ("BCA").
4. Defendant Dawn Peters is a resident of the Town of Victory, Vermont, and is presently the Chair of the Victory BCA.
5. Defendant Jan Stanley is a resident of The Town Victory, Vermont, and a member of the Victory BCA.
6. Defendant Sandy Hudson is a resident of Victory Vermont and a member of the Victory BCA.
7. Defendant Lionel Easter is a resident of Victory Vermont and a member of the Victory BCA.
8. Defendant Victory Board of Civil Authority is a municipal Board of elected officials part of whose duties including purging the voter checklists
9. Defendant Wayne Moodie and Krystal Gray are residents of St. Johnsbury, Caledonia County, Vermont.
10. Defendants Robert Flanigan Jr., Toni Flanigan, Robert Flanigan III and Brendan Flanigan are residents of Granby, Connecticut.
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11. Defendant Andrea Poginy is a resident of St. Johnsbury, Caledonia County, Vermont
12. Defendant Isaiah Preston is a resident of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont
13. Defendant Angelo Spera is a resident of Salem, Connecticut.
14. Defendants Laury Saligman and John McGill are residents of Montpelier, Washington County, Vermont.

## JURISDICTION

15. Superior Court jurisdiction is based on 17 V.S.A. § 2617 (" In all cases for which no other provision has been made, the superior court shall have general jurisdiction to hear and determine matters relating to elections and to fashion appropriate relief.") and $\mathbf{1 7}$ V.S.A. § 2603.("Contest of elections") Further, a complaint under 17 V.S.A. $\S 2603$ must be filed within 15 days of the election.

## FACTS

16. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-15.
17. On March 7, 2017, a Town Meeting was held in Victory, Vermont.
18. The Town of Victory holds elections of officers and decides wamed articles by Australian ballot at Town Meeting.
19. According to the Town Checklist, as of Town Meeting Day, there were 84 registered voters in the Town of Victory. [Exhibit A--Town Meeting Day Checklist]. As of 2017, there were 11 school aged children in town. [Exhibit B--Town of Victory FY 18 enrollment], resulting in a Town population of at least 95 persons.
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20: As of the census year 2010, there were a total of 63 persons residing in the Town of Victory. Thus if the checklist is correct, there was an approximately $50 \%$ increase in population in the Town of Victory since the last census.
21. As late as February 2017, assistant Town Clerk Ferne Loomis said there were "only 63 people in town." [Exhibit C-Caledonian Record articles quoting Feme Loomis]
22. Of the 84 registered voters, on Town Meeting Day, 76 voted, with 41 voting by absentee ballot, and 35 voting in person.
23. The checklist reveals that there are at least eleven people who are not residents of the Town of Victory who voted by absentee ballot.
a. Wayne Moodie and Krystal Gray moved out of town to St. Johnsbury, Vermont in November 2016 because the Victory Vermont trailer they were renting was deemed unsafe. [Exhibit D--Patricia Mitchell's affidavit ]
b. Robert Flanigan Ir., Toni Flanigan, Robert Flanigan III, and Brendan Flanigan live in Connecticut and have for many years, even decades. Robert and Toni Flanigan have a vacation home in Victory, and they have not stayed overnight there since 2014. Their adult sons, Robert II and Brendan have not been seen in the Town of Victory for at least four years. Neither son has ever lived in Victory. [Exhibit E-Greg Hovey's affidavit; Exhibit F-Checkmate background report four • Flanigan family members; Exhibit G-Deposition transcript testimony of Robert Flanigan Jr.]:
c. Andrea Poginy lives in St. Johnsbury and has not lived in Victory, Vermont for more than one year [Exhibit H-15-Transcript of Carol Easter's Testimony; Exhibit I—Facebook post]
d. Isaiah Preston does not live in Victory, Vermont. Isaiah lives in Burlington, Vermont. [ Exhibit J-Checkmate background report on Isaiah Preston]
e. Angelo Spera, who, upon information and belief, is Toni Flanigan's brother, lives in Salem Connecticut, and, upon information and belief, owns no property in the Town of Victory. [Exhibit K--Checkmate background report]
f. Laury Saligman and John McGill live in Montpelier, Yermont and their children attend Montpelier public schools. [Exhibit H -Transcript of Carol Easter's testimony, Exhibit L-Checkmate background reports]
g. Arrick Mitchell lives in North Conway, New Hampshire. [Exhibit MTown Meeting Warning]
24. The previous Chair of the BCA, Walter Neborsky, attempted to call at least three meetings of the Board of Civil Authority in August, September and November of 2016 to purge the checklist. Defendant members of the Board of Civil Authority refused to do purge the checklist, and at times refused to attend BCA meetings so that there was no quorum. [Exhibit N-Affidavit of Walter Neborsky] [Exhibit O-Minutes of BCA Meetings]
25. In September 2015, the BCA illegally took Ruth Neborsky and her active duty military son, Airman Andrew Marden, off the checklist. Their actions were
illegal because Ruth Neborsky was a full time resident of the Town of Victory, living with her husband, Walter Neborsky, and her son's legal residence was his mother's home in Victory. In addition, Ruth had attended nearly every town meeting, had voted in every election in the Town of Victory, and had attended many select board meetings. Her husband, Walter Neborsky, owns a home in Victory, and was present at the September 2015 BCA meeting. He told the BCA that his wife and stepson were residents. Despite that, the BCA removed Ruth Neborsky and her son from the checklist: In addition, Ruth's other son, Anthony Schifone, who is a full time college student, had applied a year earlier to be placed on the checklist, but he never was. [Exhibit P-Anthony Schifone's testimony]
26. As a result, Ruth Neborsky and her sons were forced to file suit in Superior Court to be placed on the checklist. Town Officials, including Defendant Carol Easter, opposed placing Ms. Neborsky and her two sons on the checklist, and testified against her. They provided no information whatsoever that Ms. Neborsky lived elsewhere other than Victory, Vermont. Two contested hearings were held, one in January, 2016 and one in February 2016. The Essex County Superior Court ordered that all three be placed on the checklist after the contested hearings were completed.
27. Between the first and second hearing on Ms. Neborsky's and her sons' voting rights lawsuit, Defendant Carol Easter placed Brendan Flanigan and Robert Flanigan III on the checklist for the first time. They applied online, and Defendant Carol Easter did not require proof of residence when she placed them
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on the checklist. [Exhibit H-11 \& H-12---Transcript of Carol Easter's testimony] Ms. Easter also placed Andrea Poginy back on the checklist after she had been removed by the BCA meeting in September, 2015-the same meeting when Ruth Neborsky and Andrew Marden were removed from the checklist. [Exhibit H-14-H-15]
28. At the same time, Town Clerk Easter required Anthony Schifone to provide his driver's license as proof of residency when he applied for the third time. Even then, she did not put Anthony's name on the checklist. [Exhibit H-4; Exhibit H10 \& H-11]
29. In addition, Town Clerk Easter refused to put Ruth Neborsky's son, Andrew Marden's name back on the checklist, even after he provided proof of residence. [Exhibit H-10 \& H-11].
30. Town Clerk Easter testified that even if someone has never lived in Victory, they can be put on the checklist if they express an intent to move to Victory. [Exhibit H-12].
31. At a hearing on December 2, 2016 when Town Clerk Easter was asked about the Flanigan sons' residency, she refused to answer. When told by the court she needed to answer, she asserted her right under the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution to not answer the question. She then said "there are multiple people that are on the checklist that should not be." [Exhibit Q-Carol Easter Dec 2, 2016 testimony ].
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32. On February 15, 2017, Defendant Carol Easter was asked about when the absentee ballots were going to be available, she said "When I get around to it, and if you don't like it, sue me!" [Exhibit R—Affidavit of Walter Mitchell].
33. The absentee ballots for March 7, 2017 town meeting were not made available by Defendant Carol Easter until February 22, 2017.
34. Airman Andrew Marden's ballot was sent to him on February 22, 2017. It did not get back in time for the town meeting vote.. [Exhibit S-Ruth Neborsky affidavit]
35. Defendant Carol Easter was running for Town Clerk and Town Treasurer on the March 7, 2017 Town Meeting ballot.
36. Defendant Carol Easter was asked by Kathleen Hill, who has power of attorney for Victory resident Patricia A. Hill, to send Kathleen an absentee ballot for Patricia. Patricia at the time was a patient at St. Johnsbury Health and Rehabilitation.
37. Carol Easter did not send Kathleen the absentee ballot. Instead she personally brought the ballot to Patricia Hill, and stayed with Patricia until she completed her ballot and gave it back to Carol. Carol had no one else with her from the Town of Victory at the time. [Exhibit T-Kathleen Hill affidavit]
38. On Town Meeting day, Carol Easter assisted Carole Shepard in making out her ballot.
39. Defendant Sandra Hudson, who was a candidate for School Director, was an election official on Town Meeting day.
40. The voting results were as outlined in the attached Exhibit U.
41. According to Exhibit U, Lionel ("Skip") Easter and Otis McKinstry tied for select board, and Article 8 was a tie. . .
42. According to Exhibit N, Tracey Martel lost the election for Town Clerk by three votes, and Town Treasurer by one vote.
43. The Town of Victory has warned a notice of a new election for April $5^{\text {th }}, 2017$ for the select board position. [Exhibit V-Notice of Election]

COUNT1-CONTEST OFELECTION
44. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-43.
45. 17 V.S.A. $\S 2603$ provides as follows:

The result of an election for any office, other than for the general assembly, or public question may be contested by any legal voter entitled to vote on the office or public question to be contested.(b) A contest is initiated by filing a complaint with a superior court alleging:(1) that errors were committed in the conduct of the election or in count or return of votes, sufficient to change the ultimate result; (2) that there was fraud in the electoral process, sufficient to change the ultimate result; or(3) that for any other reason, the result of the election is not valid."
46. Errors were committed that were sufficient to change the ulimate result, including, but not limited to the following:
a. Absentee ballots are required to be available 20 days prior to an election. ("Ballots for local officers and local public questions shall be prepared at town expense, under the direction of the town clerk not later than 20 days before the local election.") Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2681a.

Absentee ballots for the March 7, 2017 election were not available until February 22, 2017, 13 days before the election. As a result, at least one voter, Andrew Marden, did not get his ballot back in time for his vote to count.
b. Australian ballots are, under Vermont statutes, secret ballots. ("An
'Australian ballot' means a uniformly printed ballot, typically confined to the secret vote election of specified offices as previously warned to be voted upon by the Australian ballot system. Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2103

Emphasis added. Carol Easter, according to what appears in the town office's security camera, handled the ballots of a voter in the voting booth, and, upon information and belief, wrote on at least one of the ballots while the voter was talking to another person, in violation of 17
V.S.A. § 1972:
"A voter who, except in cases of assistance as provided in this title, allows his or her ballot to be seen by another person with an apparent intention of letting it be known how he or she is about to vote or makes a false statement to the presiding officer at an election as to his or her inability to mark his or her ballot or places a distinguishing mark on his or her ballot or a person who interferes with a voter when inside the guard rail or who, within the building in which the voting is proceeding, endeavors to induce a voter to vote for a particular candidate, shall be fined $\$ 1,000.00$. (b) It shall be the duty of the election officers to see that the offender is duly prosecuted for a violation of this section. " 17 V.S.A. § 1972
c. If an absentee ballot is hand delivered to a voter, the statutes require as follows:
"Not later than three days prior to the election, the board of civil authority or, upon request of the board, the town clerk, shall designate in pairs justices of the peace in numbers sufficient to deliver early voter absentee ballots to the applicants for early voter absentee ballots who have stated in their applications that they are unable to vote in person at the polling place due to illness or physical disability but who have not requested in their applications that early voter absentee ballots be mailed to them. No pair shall consist of two justices from the same political party." Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2538

Carol Easter hand delivered an absentee ballot to Patricia Hill, who had not requested the ballot pursuant to 17 V.S.A. $\S 2538$, and she went
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alone without any justices of the peace, in violation of Section 2538 of Title 17.
d. At least eleven voters on the checklist are non-residents, in violation of Vermont statutes. ("Any person may register to vote in the town of his or her residence in any election held in a political subdivision of this state in which he or she resides who, on election day:(1) is a citizen of the United States;(2) is a resident of the state of Vermont;(3) has taken the voter's oath; and(4) is 18 years of age or more.") Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2121
e. Two non-residents, Brendan Flanigan, Robert Flanigan III were placed on the checklist for the first time by Defendant Carol Easter in 2016 even though they have never lived in Victory, Vermont. They were placed on the checklist online, without providing the necessary proof of residency as required by the Help America Vote Act:
"...[A] State shall, in a uniform and nondiscriminatory manner, require an individual to meet the requirements of paragraph (2) if--(A) the individual registered to vote in a jurisdiction by mail; and(B)(i) the individual has not previously voted in an election for Federal office in the State; or(ii) the individual has not previously voted in such an election in the jurisdiction and the jurisdiction is located in a State that does not have a computerized list that complies with the requirements of subsection (a).(2) Requirements(A.... (ii) in the case of an individual who votes by mail, submits with the ballot--(I) a copy of a current and valid photo identification; or(II) a copy of a current utility bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck, or other government document that shows the name and address of the voter." 52 U.S.C.A. § 21083. Emphasis added.

Defendant Carol Easter knew they were not residents when she placed them on the ballot, and when she sent them their absentee ballots. That
is why she did not require and valid identification that shows the voters' residence. [Exhibit Q-4-Q-5] This violates 17 V.S.A. § 2016 ("A person who willfully aids or abets a person who is not a duly qualified voter in voting or attempting to vote at a local, primary, or general election shall be fined not more than $\$ 200.00 .{ }^{\prime \prime}$ )
f. All of the individual defendants know that Brendan Flanigan and Robert Flanigan III and other eight non-resident Defendants are not residents of the Town of Victory, yet as members of the BCA, the defendants have refused to take steps to remove non-residents from the checklist This is also a violation of 17 V.S.A. $\S 2016$.
47. A resident for voting purposes is defined by Vermont Statutes as follows:
"For the purpose of this chapter, 'resident' shall mean a person who is domiciled in the town as evidenced by an intent to maintain a principal dwelling place in the town indefinitely and to return there if temporarily absent, coupled with an act or acts consistent with that intent. If a person removes to another town with the intention of remaining there indefinitely, that person shall be considered to have lost residence in the town in which the person originally resided even though the person intends to return at some future time. However, a person shall retain the ability to vote in a town of former residence for a period of 17 days after becoming a resident of a new town. A person may have only one residence at a given time. Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2122
48. As outlined above, none of the eleven non-resident defendants meet the criteria for residency set forth in 17 V.S.A. § 2122.
49. There are several other names on the checklist who, upon information and belief, are not residents; however, Plaintiff has been unable to verify, within the 15 days allowed for contest of elections to verify the addresses of the other nonresident voters. (" The complaint shall be filed within 15 days after the election in question.'') Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2603

## COUNT 1I-PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

50. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-49..
51. The Town of Victory Board of Civil Authority has a statutory duty to remove persons from the checklist who are not residents. In addition, the BCA is mandated to review the checklist every odd numbered year prior to September $15^{\text {th }}$.
"(c) In addition to any actions it takes under subsections (a) and (b) of this section, by September 15 of each odd-numbered year the board of civil authority shall review the most recent checklist name by name and consider, for each person whose name appears on the checklist, whether that person is still qualified to vote. In every case where the board of civil authority is unable to determine under subdivisions (d)(1) and (2) of this section that a person is still qualified to vote, the board of civil authority or, upon request of the board, the town clerk shall send a written notice to the person and take appropriate action as provided in subdivisions (d)(3) through (5) of this section. The intent is that when this process is completed there will have been some confirmation or indication of continued eligibility for each person whose name remains on the updated checklist." 17 V.C.A. § 2150
52. Removing names from the checklist must occur at least 90 days before the next election. Because the BCA has willfully refused to review the checklist and to remove non-residents from the checklist, Plaintiff prays this Honorable order that the defendant BCA members set a date forthwith to review the checklist and remove the non-residents from the checklist, pursuant to the statute.

## COUNT II-TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND TEMPORARY

## AND PERMANENT INJUCTION

53. Plaintiff alleges paragraphs 1-52.
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54. The eleven defendant non-resident voters are violating 17 V.S.A. § 2014: ("A person, knowing that he or she is not a qualified voter, who votes at a local, primary, or general election for an officer to be elected at that election shall be fined not more than $\$ 200.00$. ."), as well as Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 13, § 2904 ("A person of whom an oath is required by law, who willfully swears falsely in regard to any matter or thing respecting which such oath is required, shall be guilty of perjury and punished as provided in section 2901 of this title.")
55. Plaintiff requests this Honorable Court to order the eleven defendants request that their names be removed from the town of Victory checklist forthwith.
56. Plaintiff further requests that this Honorable Court order that the Defendant Town of Victory cancel its select board election of April 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$, and to hold an entirely new election for all town offices and all town warnings wamed for the March 2017 town meeting after the non-residents are removed from the checklist.
57. This Court has broad authority to issue orders to ensure the integrity of the election process, including ordering new elections, and forwarding information of possible criminal conduct to the state's attorney:
"After hearing, the court shall issue findings of fact and a judgment, which shall supersede any certificate of election previously issued. If the court finds just cause, the court shall grant appropriate relief, which may include, without limitation, ordering a recount, or ordering a new election. If during the hearing the court receives credible evidence of criminal conduct, the court shall order a transcript of all or part of the testimony to be forwarded to the proper state's attorney. If a new election is ordered, the court shall set a date for it, after consulting with the secretary of state; in ordering a new election, the court shall have authority to issue appropriate orders, either to provide for special cases not covered by law, or to supersede provisions of law which may conflict with the needs of the particular situation." Vt. Ṣtat. Ann. tit. 17, § 2603
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## COUNT IV-ISSUNG FINES TO NON-RESIDENT DEFENDANTS AND

## FORWARDLNG TESTIMONYTOSTATES ATTORNEX

58. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-59.
59. As indicated above, Defendants who were not qualified voters who voted in the Town Meeting election are subject to fines of not more than $\$ 200.00$.
60. In addition Defendants who signed under oath that they were residents of the Town of Victory are subject to prosecution under the state perjury statute outlined above.
61. Plaintiff prays this Honorable Court issue fines to the non-resident Defendants who voted in the Town Meeting election, and pursuant to the statute noted above, forward the transcript of the hearing to the state's attomey, if warranted.

## COUNTI V-ISSUING FINES TO DEFENDANT CAROL EASTER

62. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-63.
63. Defendant Carol Easter, as indicated above, aided unqualified persons to vote in the Town Meeting election by placing non-resident names on the Victory checklist, and sending absentee ballots to non-residents. As such, she is subject to fines of not more than $\$ 200.00$.
64. In addition Defendant Carol Easter violated 17 V.S.A. § 2012: ("A person who, directly or indirectly, procures or causes to be procured or aids in procuring the name of a person to be inserted on a checklist of voters, knowing such person not to be a voter in the political subdivision for which such list is made or, directly or indirectly, procures or causes to be procured or aids in procuring the
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name of a person to be erased from such list, knowing him or her to be a legal voter in such political subdivision, shall be fined not more than $\$ 200.00 .{ }^{\prime \prime}$ )
65. Plaintiff therefore requests this Honorable Court issue fines against Carol Easter for her statutory violations.

## COUNT VI-AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES

66. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-67.
67. Defendants have acted willfully to subvert the purity of elections, in violation of Vermont statutes and constitution.
68. Vermont recognizes, that as a court of equity, this court has the power to award attorney's fees under special circumstances when justice requires; ("Where an individual is forced to seek judicial assistance to secure a clearly defined and established right, which should have been freely enjoyed without such intervention, an award of counsel fees on the basis of bad faith is appropriate. This principle, which merely shifts the cost of what should have been an unnecessary judicial proceeding to the responsible party, has long been recognized.) Appeal of Gadhue, 149 Vt. 322, 328, 544 A.2d 1151, 1154 (1987) Citations omitted.
69. Plaintiff and other residents of the Town of Victory have a clear constitutional right to free and fair elections, and the right to be elected to office in a free and fair election ("That all elections ought to be free and without corruption, and that all voters, having a sufficient, evident, common interest with, and attachment to the community, have a right to elect officers, and be elected into
office, agreeably to the regulations made in this constitution.") Vt . Const. CH I, art. VIII
70. Plaintiff therefore requests that Defendants pay costs and attomey's fees related to this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this Honorable Court:

1. Issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary and permanent injunction and writ of mandamus as follows:
a. Order cancellation of the April 5, 2017 Town of Victory Select board election.
b. Order that the Defendant non-residents request forthwith that their names be removed from the Town of Victory checklist.
c. Order that the Victory Board of Civil Authority meet forthwith and remove unqualified voters from the Town of Victory checklist.
d. After unqualified voters are removed from the checklist, order an entirely new election for all town offices and warned articles.
e. Supervise the new election procedure to ensure there are no further statutory violations.
2. Issue fines to defendants who have violated the statutes which provide for fines for violation.
3. After hearing, if warranted, forward testimony to the State's Attomey.
4. Order that defendants pay Plaintiff her attorney's fees and costs.
5. Award any and all other relief which to this Honorable Court seems just and equitable.
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Dated at St. Johnsbury, Vermont this 2 (day of March, 2017.


Tracey Martel, by her attorney,
Deborah T. Bucknam, Esq. ERN 1391
dbucknam@vtlegalhelp.com
802-748-5525 Ext. 101
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| Absentee | 0 | Voter | LastName | FirstName | Middle | Suffix | Address | TownNam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | ANDERSON | RICHARD |  |  | 1475 VICTORY HL VIC | QVICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | BACCHIOCHI | ANTHONY |  |  | 1204 MASTEN RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | - | BACCHIOCHI | DONNA |  |  | 1204 MASTEN RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | BATIN | JENNIFER |  | . | 1258 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | BISHOP. | BRTTANY |  |  | 4140 VICTORY RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | BLONDIN | JESSE |  |  | 4140 VICTORY.RD | VİTORY |
|  |  |  | PQUCHARD | JANET |  |  | 1291 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
| MP |  | $76$ | BROWN | EDWARD |  |  | 4262 VICTORY RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | BROWN | STEVE | M . |  | 7625 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | 7 | CLERICI-MENDEL | ANGEL | B. |  | 1768 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\cdots$ |  |  | COOKE | LINDA | P. |  | 217 MOUNTTUG RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | COOKE | ZANE | G. |  | 217 MOUNTTUG RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | EASTER | CAROL |  |  | 2428 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $v^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | EASTER | LIONEL |  |  | 2428 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $v$ |  |  | EASTER | STEPHEN |  |  | 323 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
| 2. |  |  | FLANIGAN | BRENDAN |  |  | 2870 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | FLANIGAN | ROBERT |  | ill | 2870 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| 17 |  |  | FLANIGAN | ROBERT | 1. | JR. | 2870 VICTORY HL VIG | VICTORY |
| $\underline{1}$ |  | A | FLANIGAN | TONI | T. |  | 2870 VICTORY HL VIG | VICTORY |
| 4 |  | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ (x | FRECHETTE | WILLIAM |  |  | 945 GRANBY RD | VICTORY |
| r |  |  | GRAY | KRYSTAL | M |  | 1258 B VICTOR PL | VICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | HARRISON | CATLIN | M |  | P.0. BOX 295 | YICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | HARRISON | JOHN | SHANE |  | S47 GRANBY RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | $r$ | HARRISON | WETTE |  |  | 647 GRANBY RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | HART | ELLEN | M |  | 20 MASTENRD | VICTORY |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  | HART | GORDON |  |  | 20 MASTENRD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | HART | TIMOTHY | - |  | 20 MASTENRD | VICTORY |
|  |  | 6 | HENDERSON | VIRGINIA |  |  | 1001 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
| 5 |  |  | HILL | Patricia |  |  | 4270 VICTORYRD | VICTORY |
| \% |  |  | HINEIINE | ELISE |  |  | 1283 MASTEN RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | HOLT | BRADIEY |  | 1 | 1640 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
|  |  | $v$ | HOLT. | JOHN |  |  | 1101 RIVER RD VICTG | VICTORY |
|  |  |  | HOVEY - | DYLAN | W. |  | 3000 VICTORY HL | VfCTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | HOVEY | GREGORY |  |  | 3000 VIETORY HL | VICTORY |
|  |  |  | HOVEY | RYAN | R. |  | 2622 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
|  |  | $V$ | HUDSON | SANDRA |  |  | 323 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | JACQUES-STAATS | MEIANIE |  |  | 3932 BURKE RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | LONGLEY | KATRINA. | M. |  | 80 BUTTONWOODLN | VICTORY |
| 2 |  |  | LOOMIS | FERNE |  |  | 2754 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
|  |  | 0 | LYNAUGH | HOWARD |  |  | 1291 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | MACDONALD | JOHN |  |  | 2754 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | MARCHBANKS | LAWRENCE | STEPHEN |  | 1258 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
|  |  |  | MARDEN | ANDREW JO | GARDEN |  | 2364 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | MARIN | RAYMOND | L. |  | 874 RIVER RD VICTO | VICT ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| $22$ |  | $18$ |  |  | Ar | $9$ |  |  |

$\because: ~:$

| , | $V$ | MARTEL | KYLE | E. |  | 200 SERENITY WAY V | VVICTORY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ | MARTEL | RAYMOND | R |  | 200 SERENITY WAY | VICTORY |
|  | $\nu$ | MARTEL | TRACEY | L. |  | 200 SERENITY WAY V | VVICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ | Wh2 | MCGILL | JOHN | W. |  | 3 DUNPATRICK CR M | MVICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | MCKINSTRY | MARK |  |  | 334 MOUNTTUG RD | VICTORY |
| (4x) | $\checkmark$ | MCKINSTRY | OTIS |  |  | P.O. BOX52 | VICTORY |
| $x$ |  | MCKINSTRY | SYLVIA |  |  | P.O. BOX 52 | VICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ | MENDEL | AMY | F. |  | 1768 VICTORY. HL | VICTORY |
| $\sqrt{2}$ |  | MITCHELL | ARFICK |  |  | 323 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
| $1 /$ |  | MITCHELL | DOMINICK | E |  | 1814 MASTEN RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | MITEHELL | MICHAEL |  | C | SO BUTTONWOODL | NVICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | MITCHELL | PATRICIA |  |  | 80 BUTTONWOOD LI | IVICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ | MITCHELL | WALTER |  |  | 80 BUTTONWOOD LI | IVICTORY |
| CBx | \% | MITCHELL | WALTERJR |  |  | 80 BUTTONWOOD L | IVICTORY |
| ${ }^{2}$ |  | MOODIE | WAYNE |  |  | 12588 VICTOR ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ | VICTORY |
|  | $\chi$ | MORRON | JAMES |  |  | 4082 VICTORY RD | VICTORY |
| $\sqrt{2}$ |  | NEBORSKY | RUTH ANNE |  |  | 2364 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| W 4 | $\checkmark$ | NEBORSKY | WALTERJR |  |  | 2364 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
|  |  | NELSON | BradLey | Gi. |  | 1204 MASTEN RD | VICTORY |
| $y^{2}$ |  | PEARSON | DARLENE | 1. |  | 3164 VICTORY HI | VICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ | PETERS | DAWN | E. |  | 750 RIVER RD VICTOI | IVICTORY |
|  | 1 | PETERS | ROBERT | c. | JR. | 750 RIVER RD. | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | POGINY | ANDREA | L. |  | 1258 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\sim$ |  | PRESTON | DOUGLAS. |  |  | 3317 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| 1 |  | PRESTON | ISAIAH | D. |  | 3317 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | PRESTON | JEREMIE | F. |  | 3317 VICTORY HL VIC | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | RAINEY | LLOYD | W. |  | 1344 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | RICH | TINA |  |  | 1258 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ | H) | SALIGMAN | LAURY | E. |  | 3 DUNPATRICK CRM | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ | ${ }^{4} x^{*}$ | SCHFONE | ANTHONY. |  |  | 2364 VICTORY HL | VICTORY |
|  | r | SHEPARD | CAROLE. |  |  | 343 VICTORY RD | VICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ | SOMERVILLE | DALE |  |  | 257 RIVER RD | VICTORY |
|  | 4 | SOMERVILLE | MARIENE |  |  | 257 RIVER.RD | VICTORY |
| $\checkmark$ |  | SPERA | ANGELO |  |  |  | VICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ | STAATS | WILLIAM |  |  | 3932 BURKE RD | VICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ | STANLEY | IANICE |  |  | 11 GRANBY RD | VICTORY |
|  | $\checkmark$ V | VANDER-HEYDEN | EDDY |  |  | 1645 MASTEN RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | WALTERS | JUANITA | CHRISTI: |  | 101 RADAR RD VICTG | VICTORY |
|  |  | WALTERS | PHILEMON | THEOPH |  | 101 RADAR RD | VICTORY |
|  |  | WELCH | ERIN L | L |  | 4262 VICTORY RD | VICTORY |



$\qquad$


> VICTORY TOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT ANTICIPATED FY18 ENROLLMENT

|  | Paid Tuitions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Concord <br> School | Burke Town School | St. Johnsbury <br> Academy | Riverside <br> School | Lyndon Institute | Unknown High Schoo: |
| K: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 3: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 4: | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 5: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 6: |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 7: |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Grade 8: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 9: |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Grade 10: |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Grade 11: |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Grade 12: |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | - |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |


| Elementary: Secondary: | 3 <br> 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$14,884 | \$15,225 | \$17,634 | \$15,766 | \$17,632 | \$17,632 |
| TOTAL: | 11 | Budgeted FY18 Tuitions |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Northern Pass Opposition Group Stays In Shadows

Gets Lawmakers＇Positions About Project Wrong

BY RORERT BIECHL

Staff Wirter

## VETOR <br> Selectmen Refuse Citizens＇Petitior

## Secretary Of State Determines The Town Must Honor The Will Of The Petitione

Cluis Winters over concents that two of the the tom use an outside appraisal firm ins town＇s thiree select bord members refused to of having town listes，and a petition as accept valid cifizen pettions seeking to have voters if they would want the town to beco tiree articles on the amual Town Meeting gore insted of a town． Waming．The three petitions contained the le－Loomis said the petitions gathered by gally－reguired number of signatures of regis－ers，including her and Eastef，were submit tered Victory voters．
the Jantary meeting of the tomn＇s select $b$ haye a long－tione conflict－of－interest policy put but boart Chair Waiter Mitichell and selec

See Petaton，Pak

back in place；a petition asking voters to have
砉
 A Neighborly Thing To Do PAGE A3

tras a new office in Concord ; Duission is to give greater to the thousands of Granite $s$ who have grave concerns the significant neggtive imthe project would have on Hampshire's landscape and an econony while delivering nefits to ratepayers," he said.
"In attempting to encourage opinion leaders concerning its position opposing the project, PGS incorrectly failed to recognize a few of those leaders who have already made their opposition to the projeet well known, ${ }^{n}$ said Merrill. "PGS has apologized to them individually and steps have been taken to ensure such mistakes do not octur $2{ }^{27}$
ifl unansweted however, is launched PGS, why they $t$ to reminin anonymous, if it a board of firectors, if it is iving. monetary donations, re its Concord office is lod, and how many lawmakers their positions about Northern i inaccurately stated.
sfore checking to see if she speak to the press, Reardon say there was an action on the j web site that rallowed those it to contact their state reprelative but the way the feature \& wordea it made it seem like 1e representatives were NorthPass supporters.
It should not bave been wordthat way," she said. "It was not ean purpose."



Top loft is Victory Assistant Town Clerk Feme Loomis. Bottom left is Town Clerk Carol Easter. Above, Victory selectmen Waler Neborsky, foreground, and Chaiman Walter Michell, at an informational metting for the 2016 annual meeting.

## Petition <br> Continued from Page A1

Walter Neborsky, voted against puting any of the three requested articles onto the warning.

The waming for the annual meeting must be posted by Sunday, said Easter.

Gore Question Woưld be Non-Binding
"We are very concerned about our town," said Loomis in a telephone interview on Wednesday. "We want to make our town into a gore, and that is to helip the people. We're only 63 people, we're sotiny."

The select board is not required to put the gore question on the waming, but can choose to wam the question as advisory or a non-binding article, state officials advised.

For Victory to be made into a gore, Loomis said legislative acfion would be required.

A aon-binding vote on the gore question would gather townspeo. ples' sentiments about becoming a gore, said Easter, and then townsfeople could seek that step through legislation if there is interest and support.
"It would mean that the state

wouid take over all of our finances," Easter suld.
Loomis said, "Our town is 89 percent elderly and on disability. There are only a few young people leth, and we thought if we could get the state fo run the fown, that would help?
The petition sceking to have Victory made into a gore reads, "We want to end all rumors, alloged corruption and power struggles. This will free the town of any wrong doing miscalculations in recording and misappropriated funds: By temoving the temptations of corruption we will make our town honest."

Conflict of Interest, Kister Questions Must be Placed on Waraing

According to Deputy Sectetary Winters, in a letter written Tuesday to Easter, "Vermont law says that if the voters are given the atbthority to decide an issue in statute, it must be placed on the waming by the selectboard when they recelve a valid petifion."

Winters advised Easter that two of the three petitions - the conflict of interest ordinance, and the petition to eliminate the position of listers in Victory - do give voters the authority to decide.

Loomis said the select board
last year rescinded the lorigheld conflict of interest policy so that Neborsky could be puit into the job of road foreman, which clearly Glipulated that no town official could eam more than $\$ 500 \mathrm{a}$ month for work for the town.
"They went and abolished the conifict of interest policy and then Mitchell appointed Mr Neborsky as road foreman, said Loomis.
According to the minutes of the January select board meeting, Mitchell stated that the contlict of interest policy had not been folloved in the two years prior to Neborsky being riamed to the board, eithery and the policy was rescinded.
Of the petifion seeking the town be made into a gore, the minutes show Mitchell characterized that as "foolish" and "ftivolous:"
Mitchell stated that using an outside appraisa! firm was an unnecessary expense, the record shows.
Efforts to reach both Mitchell and Neborsky for comment were not successfit.
"If you'd like to talk to him, you can come to the selectman's meeting", was the response Mitchell's. wife, Pat, gave.
A phone call to Neborsky was not returned.

## AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW Patricia Mitchell, after being duly deposed and sworn, does hereby state under oath as follows:

1. I am a resident of the Town of Victory and am Town Health officer.
2. In October, 2016 Crystal Gray called and asked for my help, because she said the trailer they were renting in the Town of Victory had serious health hazards. The man living with her and the children was Wayne Moody.
3. I went over to inspect, and found that there were serious health hazards. [See Exhibit 1-letter to Department of Health].
4. As a result of my efforts, the property was found to be unsafe to live in, and Ms. Gray and Mr. Moody moved out to St. Johnsbury sometime in November, 2016. I saw Wayne at Price Chopper a few days before Thanksgiving, and he told me they had moved to St. Johnsbury, and he thanked me for my help.
5. Ms. Gray and Mr. Moody originally came from New York, and had lived in Victory for approximately one year.

Dated at St. Johnsbury, Vermont this ID day of March, 2016.

## STATE OF VERMONT

## CALEDONIA COUNTY, SS.

At St. Johnsbury, Vermont this $\qquad$ day of March, 2017 personally appeared Patricia Mitchel, and, upon oath duly administered, declared the foregoing statements by her to be on the affiant's own knowledge, information, or belief; and/so far as upon information and belief, the affiant believes the statements to be true.


## Department of Health

State of Vermont
107 Eastern Avenue \#9
St. Johnsbury, VT 05819
Patricia Mitchell
Health Officer
Town of Victory
80 Buttonwood Lane
Victory, VT 05858

October 17, 2016
To Whom It May Concern,
I was contacted by Crystal Gray and Wayne Moodie of 1258 B Victory Hill, Victory, Vermont for concerns about the rental property that they are currently living in. The couple's landlord is Shawn Rich on property owned by his mother, Tina Rich. I contacted Rich Wilson of the Agency of Natural Resources to accompany me on October, 17 to inspect the property. Upon inspection, there was mold growing under a kitchen cabinet, exposed insulation on the walls. In two bedrooms, there are no windows, so there is no secondary egress from those rooms. The heat is a problem because of the foundation is not finished or Insulated. If more than three electrical items are plugged, the electricity will go out. The staircase has no handrail, another staircase did have a handrall, but only on the beginning and end, but it required an additional handrail in the middle. There was a musty odor throughout. There is no water in the kitchen, so the occupants are washing their dishes in the bathtub. I visualized a used syringe in an opening in the ceiling between the kitchen and living room.
it is my understanding that the dwelling was originally a single family traller which had a second used trailer added to the property sometime around 2006. I believe at that time the owner was advised that the second trailer was for extra bedrooms a only and not to have independent sewer or water capability.
in my opinion, this property in its current condition presents a health hazard to this family of six, 2 adults and 4 children. It would also be a health hazard to any new family moving in. The family needs a letter written to NECCA so they may obtain financial assistance to relocate. My next call will be to the State Flre Marshall.

Sincerely,

Patricia Mitchell


## AFEIDAVIT

COMES NOW Greg Hovey, after being duly deposed and sworn, does hereby state under oath as follows:

1. I am a full time resident of Victory, Vermont and have a home business that keeps me at home virtually all the time. My street address is 3000 Victory Hill Road, where I have been a resident since August of 1998. I began my home business in March 2013.
2. Robert and Toni Flanigan own a vacation home next door to me. Their address is 2870 Victory Hill Road. In order to get to my home, I have to drive by their vacation home. I also can see their home from inside my house such that I can see their lights when it is dark. My business also requires me to be outside on my property approximately five hours a day, and I can see their dwelling more clearly than I can see their house from the inside of my house.
3. I keep a fairly close eye on the Flanigans because we have had several ongoing legal disputes over the last four years. So, when I see their lights go out, I will drive by their dwelling to see if their car is still there.
4. Robert and Toni Flanigan live in Granby, Connecticut. They have testified under oath that Granby Connecticut is their residence. Robert Flanigan also testified that the Victory home was a vacation home. Robert and Toni Flanigan both work full time in Connecticut and have Connecticut license plates. Their Victory dwelling has been for sale with a realtor since October 2016.
5. As far as I can observe, Robert and Toni Flanigan have not stayed at their place overnight since November 2014. For the past three winters, the stairs to the house have not been shoveled except on the rare occasions when the car is in the yard.
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6. From my observation, between May and October, they come up about once a month to mow the lawn, and then they leave. In the winter, they come about three times.
7. The Flanigans have two adult sons. I have not seen the Flanigan sons in Victory for at least four years.

Dated at St. Johasbury, Vermont this 7 day of March 2017.

## STATE OF VERMONT



## CALEDONIA COUNTY, SS.

At St. Johnsbury, Vermont this $/ 7$ day of March, 2017 personally appeared Greg Hovey, and, upon oath duly administered, declared the foregoing statements by him to be on the affiant's own knowledge, information, or belief, and, so far as upon information and belief, the affiant believes the statements to be true,

Before me,


## Xcheckmate

## BACKGROUND REPORT

## Robert J Flanigan

24 Woodcliff Dr, Granby, CT 06035-2119

F. 1

## Personal Information

Thls section contains avallable known aliases, birth records, and phone information gleaned from public records.


Bachelorâs Degree, Legal Studies, General, Senior from University of New Haven (2013-2016)


## $F-2$

## Location Information

This section includes all of the available locations related to this person. Locations listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.

## Location History

24 Woodeliff Dr
Granby, Connecticut 06035
Febnuary 1. 1990- January 31, 2017

North Concord, Vermont
05858
January 1, 2002 - August 31, 2007

7 Cyrus Ln
Bloomfieid, Connecticut 06002 February 1, 1980 - December 31, 2007

36 N Main 5t Apt
Windsor Locks, Connecticut
06096
February 1, 1994 - June 30, 2004
F. 3

## (checkmate

## BACKGROUND REPORT

## Toni T Flanigan

## 24 Woodcliff Dr, Granby, CT 06035-2119

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in connection with decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenaint screening, or any other purpose subject to the Falr Credit Reporting Act.

DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. United States Background Checks does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq., ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information available on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's arrest records. Instant Checkmate does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of the information avallable through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Instant Checkrnate Terms of Use.

## Personal Information

This section contains avallable known allases, birth records, and phone information gleaned from public records.
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## Location Information

This sectlon includes all of the avalable locations related to this person. Locations listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.

## tocation History

## 24 Woodcliff Dr Granby, Connecticut 06035 <br> May 1, 1990 - January 31, 2017

2 Woodeliff Dr 4 Granby, Connecticut 06035
May 1, 2001 - June 30, 2004

2 Lilac Ct
Cromwell, Connecticut 06416
November 1, 1989 - July 31, 1.993

41 Downey Dr Apt C
Manchester, Connecticut 06040
October 1, 1983 - October 31, 1983
2 sex offenders near this location

# x.checkmate <br> <br> BACKGROUND REPORT <br> <br> BACKGROUND REPORT <br> <br> Brendan Flanigan 

 <br> <br> Brendan Flanigan}

24 Woodcliff Dr, Granby, CT 06035-2119

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in connection with decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

> DISCLAIMER: You may not use our. service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. United States Background Checks does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq., ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information available on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's arrest records. Instant Checkmate does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of the information avallable through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Instant Checkmate Terms of Use.

## Personal Information

This section contains available known aliases, bith records, and phone information gleaned from public records.


## Location Information

This section includes all of the available locations related to this person. Locations listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.

## Location History

24 Woodcliff Dr Granby, Connecticut 06035
September 1, 2015 - January 31, 2017

6897 Amberly Grove Cv
Cordova, Tennessee 38018
23 sex offenders near this location

# ichenckmate <br> BACKGROUND REPORT <br> Robert James Flanigan 

24 Woodeliff Dr, Granby, CT 06035-2119

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in connection with decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

> DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, Insurance, tenant screenlng, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. United 5 tates Background Checks does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumen reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq.. ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The Information avallable on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use It as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concems about a person's arrest records. instant Checkmate does not make any representatlon or warranty about the accuracy of the information avallable through our website or about the character or Integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Instant Checkmate Terms of Use.

## Personal Information

This section contains available known allases, birth records, and phone information gleaned from publt records.


Bachelorats Degree, Legal Studies, General, Senior from University of New Haven (2013-2016)


## $F-11$

## Location Information

This section includes all of the available locations related to this person. Locations listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.

## Location Mistory

## 24 Woodcliff Dr

 Granby, Connecticut 06035 April 1, 2012 - January 31, 2017STATE OF VERMONT

```
SUPERIOR COURT ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION
    DOCKET NO. 130-9-13 Vtec
    DOCKET NO. 57-4-14 Vtec
HOVEY ACT 250 * * *
HOVEY ACT 250 PERMIT AMENDMENT *
    DEPOSITION
    OF
    ROBERT FLANIGAN
Taken on behalf of the Appellee on Friday, August 8, 2014 at the law offices of Bucknam \& Black, PC, St. Johnsbury, VT.
```


## APPEARANCES:

DEBORAH T. BUCKNAM, ESQ., of the firm Bucknam \&
Black, PC, P.O. Box 310, St. Johnsbury, VT 05819-0310, appeared and represented the Appellee.

HANS G. HUESSY, ESQ., of the firm Murphy Sullivan. Kronk, P.O. Box 4485, Burlington, VT 05406-4485, appeared and represented the Appellants.

ALSO PRESENT: Toni Flanigan, Greg Hovey
COURT REPORTER: Virginia L. Simmer, RPR
GREEN MOUNTAIN REPORTERS
P.O. Box 1311

Montpelier, VT 05601
(802) 229-9873 (802) 288-9578
(800) 595-9873

2 ROBERT FLANIGAN: Being first duly sworn by a Notary Public to tell the truth,
3 deposes and says as follows:
4 EXAMINATION BY MS. BUCKNAM:
5 Q. Would you please state your name and address?
6 A. Robert Flanigan, 24 Woodeliff Drive, Granby, 7 Connecticut.
8 Q. And you're married?
9 A. Correct, yes.
10 Q. What's your wife's name?
11 A. Toni Flanigan.
12 Q. Do you have children?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. Names and ages?
15 A. Brendan Flanigan 17, Robert Flanigan, III,
1620.

17 Q. What do you do for a living, Mr. Flanigan?
18 A. United Parcel.
19 Q. What do you do for them?
20 A. Computer work, driving.
21 Q. You're a driver and you work in the office as
22 well?
23 A. Computer work and driving, yeah.
24 Q. Okay. What are your work hours? Do you work 25 full-time?
1 A. Yes, I do.
2 Q. Do you work from home?
3 A. No.
G-2

IN THE VERMONT SUPERIOR COURT ESSEX COUNTY CIVIL DIVISION

RUTH ANNE NEBORSKY, ANDREW J. ) Case No. 1-1-16 Excy MARDEN and ANTHONY SCHIFONE, ) Plaintiffs,

- against Guildhall, Vermont

February 17, 2016
TOWN OF VICTORY BOARD OF , $1: 32 \mathrm{PM}$ CIVIL AUTHORITY, Defendant.

1
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TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT R. BENT, SUPERIOR COUR'T JUDGE

APPEARANCES :
DEBORAH T. BUCKNAM, ESQ.
Attorney for the plaintiffs
KYLE C. SIPPLES, ESQ. Attorney for the Defendant

Transcription Services:
escribers
700 West 192 nd street Suite \#607
New York, NY 10040
(973) 406-2250

PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRRONIC SOUND RECORDING. TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE.
$H-1$
PLAINTIFF'S

MR. SIPPLES: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Anything else? Any other -MR. SIPPLES: Not of this witness, no. THE COURT: Any other evidence on these issues? MS. BUCKNAM: Yes, Your Honor. I call Carol Easter to the stand.

THE CLERK: Please stand and raise your right hand.
CAROL EASTER,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Please state your name and address.
A. Carol Easter, 242 E Victory Hill, Victory, Vermont.
Q. And Ms. Easter, you are town clerk of Victory; is that correct?
A. Town clerk/treasurer.
Q. Thank you. When -- after the last court order; did you request that Mr . Marden show proof that he is in active duty military?
A. I did.
Q. And you received that proof, is that right, through Ms. Neborsky coming to the town office with that information?
A. I got a copy of the front of his ID card but not the back that has his signature, but yes, I got the front of the ID card.
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Q. And you also requested that Mr . Schifone provide his driver's license, correct?
A. Um hum.
Q. And --

THE COURT: Is that a yes?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. And that was also provided to you; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, at the -- just prior to the last hearing, there was a checklist for the Town of Kirby, and I'm going to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 and ask you if that's the checklist that was generated or in place --
A. This says Victory, not Kirby.
Q. I'm sorry, I keep saying that, don't I. In Victory. And the question is is that the checklist that was in place at the time of the last hearing in January?
A. I am - yes, it is.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: What exhibit number is that?
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
MS. BUCKNAM: That's 14. I offer Exhibit 14 into evidence.

THE COURT: That's last January?
MS. BUCKNAM: January 2016.
THE COURT: '16 or '15?

$$
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MS . BUCKNAM: 2016.
THE COURT: Okay. Got it.
MR. SIPPLES: I've got no objection inasmuch as it identifies whether or not the litigants to this case were or were not on the checklist. If we're admitting it to get into some grand conspiracy theory, then I would object.

THE COURT: Well, I guess we'll wait to see where it goes, but it's admitted.

MS. BUCKNAM: Thank you, Your Honor.
(The Town of Victory checklist for January 2016 was hereby. received into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, as of this date.)

BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Now, you've generated a new checklist since then; is that correct?
A. Yes, I have had people that have gone online and registered.
Q. People who have gone online and registered?
A. Yes.
Q. So you have accepted online registrations?
A. Yes.
Q. Ms. Neboraky brought in Mr. Schifone's registration. You did not accept that, correct?
A. I took it. I did not act on it because I knew this was coming up.
Q. But you've acted on --
A. I got -- I got --
Q. Excuse me, ma'am. Bu't you've acted on other applications online, correct?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And in fact, when Ms. Neborsky provided you with Mr. Schifone's application for the checklist, you indicated to her that you needed an original; is that correct?
A. I don't remember saying that.
Q. Okay. So you don't believe you needed an original; is that correct?
A. The facsimile that she gave me was what she gave me.
Q. Okay. And so the only reason you did not act on that application Was because we were going to have this hearing?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. So I'm going to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 and ask you if that's the new revised checklist.

THE COURT: Date again?
MS. BUCKNAM: The new revised checklist. I think
it's dated February 16th.
THE WITNESS: 17th.
MS. BUCKNAM: February 17th.
BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Is that correct?
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And let me find a copy so you can look at it. MS. BUCKNAM: I offer plaintiff's 15 into evidence.

MR, SIPPLES: Again no objection as to the status of the litigants to this case.

THE COURT: It's admitted.
(A revised Town of Victory checklist was hereby received into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 , as of this date.) BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. I'll' show you a copy for you to look at because I have some questions to ask you.
A. okay.
Q. Okay. Looking at Page 1 of Exhibit 15 and going down to the middle of the page indicates that a Brendan Flanigan was put on the checklist on February 6, 2016; is that right?

MR. SIPPLES: Objection to the question. This is a limited proceeding -- it's a statutory proceeding to determine if one of the -- if any or all of the three plaintiffs to this matter should or shouldn't be on the checklist, so the only pertinent facts are their specific facts. The statute talks about their residency. It doesn't weigh with other people or anything like that, so we're beyond the scope of what this hearing -- of the statutory scope of this proceeding.

MS. BUCKNAM: There are a number of reasons why this is relevant, Your Honor. One is that the standards this town
H-6
uses for different voters are different. Mr. Brendan Flanigan lives in Connecticut, has never Iived in Victory and was placed on the checklist in February of 2016. He apparent ma'am, you need to wait. And as were others.

Ms. Easter asked for proof that Mr. Schifone lived in Victory by asking for his driver's license. I will -- I will demonstrate that, in fact, none of the people that were placed on the checklist since the last hearing were asked for their driver's license because they have a Conneaticut driver's license. I think it's quite relevant to the issue of who this town considers to be residents.

MR. SIPPIES: The problem. is it's not up to the town as -- at the end of the day; it's up to the statute, so again we have three people who've asked to be put on the checklist. One has been put on. We have two who did not appear at the original hearing but are here now saying we'd like to be on the checklist.

There's a statutory criteria. They either meet it or they don't, but what may or may not have happened to anyone else is not relevant to whether either of the two remaining plaintiffs ought to be on the checklist.

MS. BUCKNAM: In addition, Your Honor --
MR. SIPRLES: It's not -- you don't weigh it vis-a-vis other people, you look at each person individually. I understand they disagree with othex people, but that is not
relevant as to whether or not the statutory criteria are met for these people.

THE COURT: Let me just -. I'll hear from the -first, I mean, I'm not going to take up your --

MS. BUCKNAM: Right.
THE COURT: -- your mandamus. Whatever --
MS. BUCKNAM: Right.
THE COURT: -- comes out, I'm not going to use it to say oh, they don't belong. I mean, we don't -- I don't have --

MS. BUCKNAM: I understand that, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- you know, they don't have notice
and --
MS. BUCKNAM: I accept your decision on that. I understand that but .-

THE COURT: But I understand you're offering this, though, to show that the standards being applied in this case were not for a reason but arbitrary.

MS. BUCKNAM: Correct, Your Honor, and that goes to the abuse of power issue as well.

THE COURT: The -- I think that I'm going to take the evidence. The problem is it's a slippery slope. Suddenly I'm starting to examine all facets of the -- what the town does about voting, checklist, things like that.

These cases get priority because they're really
H-8
designed to be answering a fairly simple question: is this person in or out and, you know, darn it all, let's make sure they get to vote and not be disenfranchised, and that's the reason that I have done everything I can do to get these heard quickly.

MS. BUCKNAM: And I appreciate that.
THE COURT: It's the law and -- but the thread -and I've said I think I have inherent attorneys' fees powers. I know I've said no, and I'm not -- you know, certainly not convinced I'm going to change my mind, but I think if I ignore this evidence, it is evidence, at least to a limited degree, that I ought to hear if this is done in a - some sort of $a$ malicious way.

But the problem is that suddenly you're starting to look under everybody's motives, and I think we could be here a long time answering that question. That's the one concern I've got. I'll let you ask these series of questions - -

MS. BUCKNAM: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- relating to these people, but I'm not probably going further than that.

MR. SIPPLES: And I just need to be careful to preserve the record.

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. SIPPLES: I renew my objection to the Couxt's entertaining of attorneys' fees for the reason that it's not H-9
authorized by statute.
THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. SIPPLES: I just don't want anyone to have $\sim$
THE COURT: NO.
MR. SIPPLES: -- thought that I waived that based on what your comment just was.

THE COURT: I didn't have --
MR. SIPPLES: So I guess, therefore, I would object to any evidence pertaining to an award of attorneys' fees. I think it's important I get that objection down for the record.

THE COURT: I'm overruling the objection, but again I'm.not going to take a lot of evidence.

MS. BUCKNAM: Understood, Your Honor.
BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Ms. Easter, you indicated earlier that you did not place Anthony Schifone on the checklist because you wanted to wait for this hearing and -- but I wanted to ask a follow-up question with Airman Andrew Marden. You had requested proof that he was in the active military, but you also did not place him on the checklist after you received that information; is that correct?
A. Because he was also meeting within this lawsuit.
Q. Okay. so you decided not to do anything with either of those boys because you knew there was going to be a
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hearing?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you had put them on the list, were you aware that there would probably not be a hearing?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Okay. So looking again at Brendan Flanigan, he applied to be on the checklist online?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you ask for his driver's license?
A. No. I took social Security. I did talk to him on the -- on the phone. He lives with his parents. His parent -- both of his parents are on our checklist, Robert and Toni Flanigan. He intends to move to Vermont, and that seems to be the intent of the law.
Q. And so you agree that Judge Bent's decision means that you can put anyone on the checklist that you want? MR. SIPPLES: Well, I'm objecting inasmuch as I maybe misunderstand the question, but it sounds like Ms. Bucknam wants this witness to say what the law is or isn't as far as who gets to be on a checklist, so I'm fine if the question is what is your understanding but not --
Q. What's your under - MR. SIPPLES: -- that it's definitive. THE COURT: I'm going to -- she's rephrasing. MS. BUCKNAM: Yeah.
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BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. What's your understanding of Judge Bent's deciaion regarding Ms. Neborsky being on the checklist?
A. That even though she spends a lot of time away from Victory Hill that her intent is to live there.
Q. So you asked Mr. Schifone for his driver's license, but you did not ask Mr. Flanigan for his; is that fair to say?
A. Proof -- I needed proof that he -- and I looked at that --
Q. Thank you. And in regard to Robert Schifone, III -I mean, excuse me, Robert Flanigan, III, same thing: he file --
A. Yes.
Q. -- he filed a application for a check -- to be on the checklist --
A. Online.
Q. -- online, and you did not ask him for his driver's license either; is that correct?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And then there was another person that was put on the checklist --

THE COURT: How old were these gentlemen, Brendan and Robert?

THE WITNESS: Twenty, twenty-one. They still live at home under their parents' care. Both of them are in
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THE COURT: And the parents are domiciled in Victory?

THE WITNESS: They own property and pay taxes in Victory, and they've been on our checklist for well over a year.

BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Well, let's talk about that - - the Flanigans for just a moment. I'm going to show you what's been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 24 for identification. Can you identify that?
A. That is the Victory tax bill for Toni and Robert Flanigan.
Q. Okay. And that was - that's dated for the year $^{\text {Q }}$ 2014, the year they were placed on the checklist; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it'g true, is it not, that that -- that that tax bill is -- was sent to their home in Connecticut, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that they are taxed at a nonresidential rate?
A. They are, correct.
Q. And you're aware, are you not, that Toni and Robert Flanigan have never had a vermont -- well, let me rephrase it. That Toni and Robert Flanigan have a Connecticut license plate
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A. Yes.
Q. And so, therefore, you've never asked Toni and Robert Flanigan for their driver's license; is that correct?
A. No. The registration to go on a checklist, it gives you a choice. You can do your driver's license number or you can do the last four digits of your Social security if you don't have a driver's license -- Vermont dxiver's license.
Q. Now, Ms. Easter, Mx. Schifone also asked for an absentee ballot that Ms. Neborsky gave to you; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. So that if this Court decides that Mr. Schifone is a resident of the town, you will provide him with an absentee ballot?
A. I will.
Q. Now, there's another person on the checklist that's been added since the last hearing, and that is Andrea Poginy --
A. Yes.
Q. -- correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's true, is it not, Ms. Easter, that Andrea Poginy was taken off the checklist in the september Board of Civil Authority meeting that -- in which Airman Marden and Ms. Neborsky were also taken off?
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A. Yes.
Q. And you placed Ms. Poginy back on the checklist after the last hearing without - on your own as town clerk?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Was there a Board of Civil Authority meeting?
A. No, there was not. She filled out the registration form to go back on the checklist.
Q. And she's moved back to Victory?
A. Not yet.
Q. I see. So she's actually -- she's renting her house out to somebody else?
A. Yes.
Q. So you placed her on the checklist after she filed an application. You didn't ask her for any proof of where she was living; is that right?
A. No.
Q. You disagree with the Court's decision that Ruth Neborsky is a resident of the town of Victory?

MR. SIPPLES: Objection. It's not relevant. She's put her back on the checklist. It's not relevant.

THE COURT: Overruled. I think these are all tied together. Go ahead.
A. I'm undecided.
Q. Have other people applied to be on the checklist other than the six or seven people that have applied since the $H-15$


DO YOU KNOW ANDREA?

To see what she shares with friends, send her a friend request.
Y

##  <br> 1 Mutual Friend
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## (checkmate

## background report Isaiah Preston

20 Joy Dr, South Burlington, VT 05403-6151

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in connection with decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. United States Background Checks does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings "under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq., ("FCRA"); which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information available on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's arrest record's. Instant Checkmate does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of the information available through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Instant Checkmate Terms of Use.

## Location Information

This section includes all of the available locations related to thls person. Locations listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.

## Location History

20 Joy Dr
South Burlington, Vermont 05403
September 1, 2014 - January 31, 2017

## 275 Woodland St

Manchester, Connecticut 06042
September 1, 2014 - October 31, 2016
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# Kcheickmate <br> BACKGROUND REPORT <br> <br> Angelo V Spera 

 <br> <br> Angelo V Spera}

30 Gardner Lake Hts, Salem, CT 06420-3723

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in connection with decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

DISCLAIMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. United States Background Checks does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have special meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq., ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information avallable on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, complete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due dlligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's arrest records. Instant Checkmate does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy of the information available through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire, For more information, please review instant Checkmate Terms of Use.
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## Location Information

This section includes all of the available locations related to this person. Locations listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.


## foheckmate

## BACKGROUND REPORT

## Laury E Saligman

3 Dunpatrick Clr, Montpeller, Vr 05602-2133

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in canneckion with decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

DISCLABMER: You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit, employment, insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would regulre FCRA compliance. United States Background Checks does not provide consurner teports and is not a consumer reporting agency. TThese terms have speclat meanings under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq., ("FCRA"), whlch are incorporated herein by reference.) The information avallable on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, complate, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's arrest records. Instant checkmate does not make any representation or warranty about the acturacy of the information avaliable through our website or about the character or integrity of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please review Instant Checkmate Terms of Use.

## PLAINTIFF'S

## Personal Information

Thls section contains avaliable known allases, birth records, and phone information gleaned from public records,
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## Location Information

This section includes ail of the avaliable focations related to this person. locations fisted may include current resldence, past restdences, and places of work,

| Lecation Histery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 3 Dunpatrick Cir | 72 Linden St Apt 2 | 20554 Macarthur Bivd |
| Montpelier, Vermont 05602 | Brookiline, Massachusetts 024445 | Potomac, Maryland 20854 |
| 1 sex oifenders near this location | fuly 2, 1988 - February 28, 2014 | November 1, 2004 -September 30, 2012 |
|  | 1630 Page St |  |
| 7414 Birch Ave | San Francisco, Californla 94117 | 1330 New Hampshire Ave Nw 723 |
| Takoma Park, Maryland 20912 |  | Washington, Distriet Of Columbia 20036 |
| December 1, 2005-Aprit 30, 2008 | 23 sex offenders near this lacation | March 1, 2002 - December 31, 2007 |
| 4817 Derussey Pkwy | 4817 De Russey Prkwy | 4817 De Russey Pakwy |
| Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815 | Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815 | Chevy Chase, Maryland 20814 |
| October 1, 2004 - April 30, 2007 | Aprll 1, 2005 - April 30, 2005 | March 1, 2005-March 31, 2005 |
|  |  |  |
| - 2632 30th $5 t \mathrm{Nw} 3$ |  | 135 S 18th St |
| Washington, District of Columbla 20007 |  | Phifadelphla, Pennsylvanla 19103 |
|  | Washington, District of Columbia 28090 October 1, 2002 - Ottober 31, 2002 | June 1، 2001 - April 30, 2002 |
| 4 sex offenders near this location | October 1, 2002-Ottober 31, 2002 | 1 sex offenders near this location |
| 301 G 5 t 5 w Apt | 1707 Pine $5 t$ |  |
| Washington, District of Columbia 20024 | Phifadelphla, Pennsylvanta 19103 |  |
| October 1, 2992 -jufy 31, 2001 | Aprl 1, 2001--june 30, 2001 | Macungie, Pennsyivania 18062 October 1, 1995 - Navember 30, 1999 |
| 8 sex offenders near this location | I sex offenders near this location | October 1, 1995 - Navernber 30, 1999 |
| 2001. Delancey St 2nd | 72 L.lnden 5 t |  |
| Philadelphla, Pennsylvanla 19103 | Boston, Massachusetts 02127 | Mendham, New Jersey 07945 |
| Aprll 1, 1999 - October 31, 1399 | October 1, 1997 - January 31, 1999 | November 1; 1996 - January 31, 1997 |
| 1 sex offenders near this location | 7 sex offenders near this location |  |
| 1910 Holly Ridge Dr Apt | 4628 4th Rd' N |  |
| Me Lean, Virglala 22102 | Arlington, Virginia 22203 | 161 Cotton $5 t$ <br> Phaladelphla, Pennsylvania 19127 |
| Juy 1, 1993 - july 32, 2993 | july 1, 1988- Decernber 31, 1991 | Phitadelphla, Penmsyivania 1.9127 |

## Kcheckmate

## BACKGROUND REPORT

## John Williams Mc Gill

3 Dunpatrick Cir, Montpelier, VT 05602-2133

NOTICE: This information may NOT be used in connection with decisions about tonsumer credit, employment, insurance, tenart screening, or any other purpose subject to the Falr Credit Reporting Act.


#### Abstract

DISCLAIMER; You may not use our service or the information it provides to make decisions about consumer credit. employment, Insurance, tenant screening, or any other purpose that would require FCRA compliance. United States Background Checks does not provide consumer reports and is not a consumer reporting agency. (These terms have-speclal meanings under the fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC 1681 et seq. ("FCRA"), which are incorporated herein by reference.) The information available on our website may not be $100 \%$ accurate, çomplete, or up to date, so do not use it as a substitute for your own due diligence, especially if you have concerns about a person's arrest records. Instant Checkmate does not make any representation or wartanty about the accuracy of the information avallable through our website or about the character or integrlty of the person about whom you inquire. For more information, please revlew Instant Checkmate Terms of Use.


## Personal Information

This section contains avaitable known aliases, birth records, and phone information gleaned from public records.






St. Paul's School (1979-1982)
Princeton University
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## Location Information

This section includes all of the available locations related to this person. Location listed may include current residence, past residences, and places of work.
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Town Of Victory, VT Warning For Town Meeting 2017

Shall the voters of Victory, Vermont authorize the elimination of the office of Town Listers in accordance with 17 V.S.A. 2651 C replacing it with professionally certified assessors who shall have the same powers, discharge the same duties; proceed in the discharge thereof the same manner, and be subject to the same liabilities as are prescribed for the listers of the board under the provisions of Title 32?


## AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW, Walter Neborsky, after being duly deposed and sworn, does hereby state under oath as follows:

On August 1, 2016 the BCA had a meeting to reorganize and to nominate a Chair of the BCA which I, Walter Neborsky, was nominated and voted in as Board of Civil Authority Chair, at that meeting, I requested that we purge the Town of Victory's checklist. The JP's refused saying it was too close to Elections. I said well let's set a meeting date to purge the checklist after the Elections. They (The JP's, Town Clerk, Select board) all agreed to do so but no date was set at that time due to The JP's, Town Clerk, and one (1) Select board member not willing to commit to a date.

At the September 2016 Select Board meeting I announced that there would be a BCA meeting following the Select Board meeting, to set a meeting date to purge the checklist. When the meeting was called to order at 7:01PM The JP's present (Sandra Hudson, Janice Stanley, \& Dawn Peters) told me that they wouldn't purge the checklist due to it being too close to an election. I suggested that we go ahead and send challenge letters. Again, they refused got up and left the meeting, along with the Town Clerk (Carol Easter), and Selectman (Lionel "Skip" Easter).

In November 2016, we had a meeting to schedule a recount for the JP Election. I brought the subject up that we had agreed to set a meeting date to purge the checklist after the Election, they said that they had never agreed to purge the checklist and that they would not do so. This was the last attempt on my part to try and get the checklist purged. The JP's (Sandra Hudson, Janie Stanley, \& Dawn Peters), Town Clerk (Carol Easter), and Select board member (Lionel) "Skip" Easter) would not meet so there would be no quorum, I decided to wait till the JP Elections to try again.

Dated at Victory, Vermont this 12 day of March 2017


## STATE OF VERMONT

## ESSEX COUNTY, SS.

At Victory this $1^{\text {th }}$ day of March, 2017 personally appeared Walter Neborsky, and upon oath duly administered, declared the foregoing statements by him to be on the affiant's own knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, the affiant believes the statements to be true.


My Commission expires 2/10/19

# BOARD OF CIVIL AUTHORITY <br> MINUTES <br> SEPTEMBER 7, 2016 

# 102 RADAR RD VICTORY, VT 05858 6:00PM 

Board Present: Walter Neborsky \& Walter Mitchell
Public Present: Dale Somerville

Walter Neborsky called the BCA meeting to order 6:00 PM.

## Portland Pipeline

## Abatement Request

Meeting was called to order at 6 PM . No one else from the BCA board attended the meeting. Nothing was discussed or agreed upon. No Quorum.

Meeting was moved to September 10, 2016 per town attorney Dan Richardson to match meeting Dawn Peters (Asst. Chair) and Carol Easter set on September 6, 2016 that was not supposed to have occurred.

Meeting adjourned at 6:05PM
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# BOARD OF CIVIL AUTHORITY <br> MINUTES 

SEPTEMBER 10, 2016
102 RADAR RD VICTORY, VT 05858 5:00 PM

Board Present: Walter Neborsky \& Walter Mitchell
Public Present: Dale Somerville

Walter Neborsky called the BCA meeting to order 5:00 PM.

## Portland Pipeline

## Abatement Request

Meeting was called to order at 5PM. No one else from the BCA board attended the meeting. Walter Neborsky tried to call Dawn Peters and Carol Easter which neither one answered. Nothing was discussed or agreed upon. No Quorum.

Meeting was moved to September 12, 2016 following the Selectboard meeting at 7:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 5:35 PM
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# BOARD OF CIVIL AUTHORITY <br> MINUTES 

SEPTEMBER 12, 2016
102 RADAR RD VICTORY, VT 05858
$7: 00 \mathrm{PM}$

Board Present: Walter Neborsky \& Walter Mitchell
Public Present: Dale Somerville

Walter Neborsky called the BCA meeting to order 7:01 PM.
Prior to calling the BCA meeting to order Sandra Hudson, Dawn Peters, and Jan Stanley left the building following the Select board meeting not staying for the BCA meeting. All 3 are members of the BCA.

## Portland Pipeline

## Abatenent Request

Meeting was called to order at 7:01PM. Town Clerk, Carol Easter and Selectman, Lionel (Skip) Easter walked out of the meeting. No discussion was had and no decisions made. No Quorum.

Meeting adjourned at 7:03PM
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A. Yes, sir.
Q. And in order to receive that benefit therefore, you established residency in Massachusetts?
A. I -- yes, I estabilshed that I had a Mass., Iicense and that $I$ was -- that's where $I$ was living at the time. MR. SIPPLES: Okay. THE COURT: Okay, Eolks. Any -- did you want to ask him something else? MS. BUCKNAM: No, Your Honor. I was going to talk to Anthony next.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. MS. BUCKNAM: I call Anthony Schifone to the stand. THE CLERK: Would you please stand and raise your right hand? MR. ANTHONY SCHIFONE: I will. THE COURT: Mr. Schifone, can you hear? MR. SCHIFONE: Yes. Yes, I can.

ANTHONY SCHIFONE,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Please state your name and address.
A. Anthony Carmello Schifone, 2364 Victory Hill Road, Vermont.
Q. And presently are you --
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A. Victory, Vermont.
Q. All right. Presently are you a student somewhere?
A. Yes, I'm a full-time student at the College of Idaho.
Q. And what year are you in?
A. I am in my sophomore year of college.
Q. And did you ever apply for -- to vote anywhere?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Can you tell the Court when you made applications and to what town?
A. My senior year I -- my senior year in high school, I filled out an application at my school's voter drive, but I was afraid my school did it incorrectly or something. I wanted to be sure $I$ was on the list, so $I$ also did it at the town hall in Victory.
Q. So you filled out the application at the town hall itself?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you know when that was approximately?
A. I can't give an accurate date because it was quite some time ago.
Q. Okay. Well, you became eight -- what year were you eighteen?
A. Oh, wow. 2014.
Q. Okay. And what's your - THE COURT: So long ago.
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IN RE:
IN THE VERMONT SUPERIOR COURT ESSEX COUNTY CIVI』 DIVISION

Case No. 42-11-16 Excv

PETITION FOR RECOUNT FOR. Guildhal1, Vermont VICTORY JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
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1:30 PM
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BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS A. ZONAY, SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, CALVIN COLBY, ASSISTANT JUDGE
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DEBORAH BUCKNAM, ESQ.
Attorney for Tracey Martel

WALTER NEBORSKY
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CAROL EASTER
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Transcription Services:
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352 Seventh Averue Suite 604 New York, NY 10001 (973) 406-2250

PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING.

TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
Q-1
entitled to inquire so --
THE WITNESS: Okay, ask your question again.
BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Were -- did Robert and Tony Flanagan send in absentee ballots?
A. They do (sic).
Q. And did their sons send in absentee ballots?
A. They did.
Q. And it's true, is it not, Ms. Easter, that when we were here on another matter involving voting that you admitted that the Flanagans don't live in the Town of Victory.
A. I'm not going to answer it. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor, because this is getting into the issue of -THE COURT: Ms. Easter? THE WITNESS: What? THE COURT: The Court understands this is not -THE WITNESS: Go ahead, I don't care. THE COURT: -- easy for you. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to answer her question, because she is putting me on the spot as far as who is on the checklist, and who isn't on the checklist.

THE COURT: Well, the Court will decide what the facts need to, as far as legal conclusions, but it's a reasonable question for the issues that have been raised here
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today that the Court's going to have to considex.
So can you answer the question, please?
THE WITNESS: No, I cannot answer the question.
THE COURT: Why not?
THE WITNESS: I plead the fifth.
THE COURT: The fifth, and you have the right to remain silent and invoke the Fifth Amendment if you believe an answer may incriminate you. And if you believe a -- so it's your position, and the court will absolutely respect you to plead the fifth if you believe any answer you may give may tend to incriminate you. Is that what you're telling the Court?

THE WITNESS: What? Answer him.
THE COURT: No, people, stop. If you believe the answer could incriminate you you do not have to answer it at al1.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I will answer the question, but I'm going to make this statement first.

There are multiple people that are on that checklist that should not be. And it all started with Judge Bent's decision that if you intend to move to the Town of Victory you have a right to be on the checklist.

The Flanagans own property and pay taxes in the Town of Victory. They are -- they live down in Connecticut as well as Vermont, and they come up quite often because I see them.
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And they're on the checklist. They're not on any checklist in Connecticut, they are on Victory's because they intend to move up here. That's my answer.

THE COURT: All right. You said they're not on a checklist in Connecticut?

THE WITNESS: No, they are not.
THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Bucknam?
THE WITNESS: And we're getting into an area that has nothing, I feel, to do with the JP voting.

THE COURT: And if that is -- if the Court concurs with that assessment that would be reflected in the Court's decision.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Bucknam?
MS. BUCKNAM: Yes, Your Honor.
BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. The Flanagans are on the checklist even though they both have -- Tony and Robert Flanagan both have Eull-time jobs in Connecticut, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And they have two grown sons who you placed on a checkIist last January, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And those two grown sons also do not live in the Town of Victory?
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A. No, but they intend to be up here.
Q. And the -- you have no idea when they plan to move up here, is that right?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You have no idea when the elder Flanagans plan to move up here, is that right?
A. No, I have no date.
Q. And you are aware that the Flanagans actually have put their house in Victory up for sale, correct?
A. No.
Q. You're not aware of that?
A. No, I'm not. But to me that's none of my business. MS. BUCKNAM: That's all I have, but I want to -- I plan to call a rebuttal witness on that issue, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ail right. MS. BUCKNAM: I call Walter Neborsky back to the stand. MR. NEBORSKY: I'm still sworn? THE COURT: You are, sir, thank you for asking. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BUCKNAM:
Q. Mr. Neborsky, how long have you lived in the Town of Victory?
A. Actually, it'll be twenty years December 16 th .
Q. And you know the Flana -- you know Robert and Tony
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## AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW, Walter Mitchell, after being duly deposed and sworn, does hereby state under oath as follows:

On February 15, 2017 we had a BCA meeting. At the end of the meeting I asked Carol Easter when the Absentee Ballots were going to be available, Carol responded "When I get around to it, and if you don't like it, then sue me!"

Dated at Victory, Vermont this $\mathbf{1 2}$ day of March, 2017


## STATE OF VERMONT

ESSEX COUNTY, SS.

At Victory this $\underset{12^{t h}}{ }$ day of March 2017 personally appeared Walter Mitchell, and upon oath duly administered, declared the foregoing statements by him to be on the affiant's own knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, the affiant believes the statements to be true.

Before Me,


Notary Public
My Commission expires 2/10/19


## AFRIDAVIT

COMES NOW Ruth Nebotsky, after being duly deposed and sworn, does hereby state under oath as follows:

1. I am a resident of Victory, Vermont, and I was forced to go to court to have my name put back on the voter checklist. My two sons, one who is in the military, and one who is a full time college student weere also ordered to be placed on the voter checklist by the court in 2016.
2. The Town of Victory opposed putting my narne and my son's thames on the checklist, so we had two days of hearing.
3. My husband, Walter Neborsky, asked the town clerk on February 15, 2017 for the ballots so that my sons could vote by absentee ballot. She refusen.
4. On February 22,2017 my husband again asked for the ballots. The town clerk refused to provide those to hira. I had to call the town clerk while I was at work, and told her that she had to give the ballots to my busband. She finally agreed. The ballots for both of my sons were sent out on February 22, 2017. [See attached Exhibit]
5. As a result of the late mailing, my son, Andrew Marden, who is stationed in Oklahoma, was not able to return his absentee ballot in time. It arrived the day after the election.
6. Because the tace for Select board was tied, a new election is being held on April 52017.
7. The last time we had a re-vote in Victory, Carol Easter automatically sent out absentee ballots to those who had voted in the ariginal election.
8. This time, Carol has notsent out absentee ballots, and she falled to inform anyone of the new procedure, and failed to inform anyone when the ballots were ready.
9. When my husband found out that she did not send out absentee ballots, he requested absentee ballots for my sons for April 2017 election.
10. Carol Easter refused.
11. I called her to request the ballots for my children. She refused to send them. She screamed at me and told me that I had to give her my son's addresses. I refused, because my son Airman Andrew Madden received a letter making vicious, vile and utterly false allegation about me. It was horrible letter, and the only way the writer of the letter was able to get his address vas through the Town Clerk.

Dated Falmouth, Massachusetts this 20 day of March, 2017.


## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

## BARNSTABCE COUNTY, SS.

At Falmouth, Massachusetts this $27^{6 / 2}$ day of March, 2017 personally appeared Ruth Neborsky, and, upon oath duly administered, declared the foregoing statements by her to be on the affiant's own knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, the affiant believes the statements to be true.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ (a) A person shall not gain or lose a residence solely by reason of presence or absence while in the service of the state or of the United States; nor while engaged in the navigation of the waters of the state or of the United States or on the high seas; nor while in a hospital, nursing home, or other health care facility; nor while confined in a prison or correctional institution; nor while a member of a veterans' home; nor while a student at any educational institution; nor while living outside the United States; nor while certified as a participant in the address confidentiality program under 15 V.S.A. chapter 21, subchapter 3.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cast another way, "Home is the place that when you go there, they have to take you in." Robert Frost, Death of the Hired Man, in The Poetry of Robert Frost.

[^2]:    * Use 20 days, except that in the exceptional situations where a different time is allowed by the court in which to answer, the different time should be inserted.

